

District Court for the Central District of California, Western Division. As grounds for removal, Defendant FNF avers as follows:

I. REMOVAL PURSUANT TO FEDERAL QUESTION JURISDICTION

- 1. On June 12, 2012, Plaintiff Penelope Bergman ("Plaintiff") filed a civil action in the Superior Court for the State of California for the County of Los Angeles, which action is entitled *Penelope Bergman v. Fidelity National Financial, Inc. and Does 1-100*, Case No. BC486460 ("the State Court Proceedings"), by filing a Complaint and causing a Summons to be issued. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), copies of these documents are attached as Exhibit A hereto.
- 2. In her complaint, Plaintiff named as defendants Defendant FNF and Does 1-100. While removal typically requires the consent of all defendants, the consent of unknown "John Doe" defendants is not required for removal. *United Computer Systems, Inc. v. AT&T Corp.*, 298 F.3d 756, 762 (9th Cir. 2002) ("rule of unanimity" inapplicable to unknown parties); *Green v. America Online*, 318 F.3d 465, 470 (3d Cir. 2003) (disregarding rule of unanimity where non-joining defendants are unknown).
 - 3. Defendant FNF was served with a copy of said Complaint on June 13, 2012.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b), this Notice of Removal is timely filed within thirty (30) days of Defendant FNF's receipt of the Complaint.
- 5. According to the allegations in her Complaint, Plaintiff's first claim for relief arises under the federal Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, 12 U.S.C. § 2601 et seq. ("RESPA"). See Complaint, Exhibit A, at pg. 5, ¶¶ 23-26.
- 6. Plaintiff's second claim for relief arises under California's Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 ("UCL"), based on a purported violation of RESPA. See Complaint, Exhibit A, at pg. 5-6, ¶¶ 27-32. "[E]ven though state law creates [a party's] causes of action, its case might still 'arise under' the laws of the United States if a well-pleaded complaint established that its right to relief under state law requires resolution of a substantial question of federal law." City of Chicago v. Int'l College of Surgeons, 522 U.S. 156, 164

(1997) (citing Franchise Tax Bd. v. Constr. Laborers Vacation Trust, 463 U.S. 1, 13 (1983)). As Plaintiff's UCL claim is based on a purported violation of RESPA, Plaintiff's "right to relief requires resolution of a substantial question of federal law" and Plaintiff's UCL claim accordingly arises under the laws of the United States.

7. This is a civil action over which this Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, since it arises under the laws of the United States. In addition to providing an independent basis for removal through its dependence on a RESPA violation, the Plaintiff's UCL claim also falls under the Court's supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1441(c). Consequently, this action is removable pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.

II. ("CAFA"): REMOVAL PURSUANT TO CLASS ACTION FAIRNESS ACT

8. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), district courts have original jurisdiction over civil actions where "the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and is a class action in which (A) any member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant." The statute permits a class's damages to be aggregated to determine whether the amount in controversy is sufficient. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(6). Finally, the class must have a minimum of 100 members. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(5)(B).

Proposed Class

9. Plaintiff pleads this case as a putative class action and seeks certification under California Code of Civil Procedure section 382. See Complaint, Exhibit A, at pg. 4, ¶ 16. It seeks certification of (a) a class of "[a]ll persons nationwide who paid an FNF subsidiary for delivery services performed by a third party vendor who had an agreement to pay a referral fee (kickback) to FNF" and (b) a class of "[a]ll persons in California who paid an FNF subsidiary for delivery services performed by a third party vendor who had an agreement to pay a referral fee (kickback) to FNF." Id.

10. Accordingly, this action is a proposed "class action" under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(1)(B), which is defined as "any civil action filed under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or similar State statute or rule of judicial procedure authorizing an action to be brought by 1 or more representative persons as a class action."

11. For CAFA to apply, the class must consist of at least 100 persons. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(5). Here, this requirement is satisfied by Plaintiff's averment that "[t]he class is believed to comprise many thousands of consumers...." *See* Complaint, Exhibit A, at pg. 4, ¶ 18.

Minimal Diversity

12. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), district courts have original jurisdiction over class actions where "any member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant." Minimal diversity exists here because Plaintiff is a citizen of California and Defendant FNF is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Florida. *See* Complaint, Exhibit A, at pg. 3, ¶13-14.

Aggregate Amount in Controversy

- Plaintiff puts the entire amount she paid for delivery, \$26.50, in controversy. See Complaint, Exhibit A, at pg. 2, ¶6. Therefore, if Plaintiff is truly typical of other putative class members, given the treble damages provided for by § 2607(d) of RESPA, only 62,894 class members are required to have an amount in controversy over \$5 million. Plaintiff alleges potentially "many thousands of consumers...." See Complaint, Exhibit A, at pg. 4, ¶18 (emphasis added). Additionally, Plaintiff seeks attorney's fees "as permitted by law" pursuant to California Code of Civ. Proc. § 1021.5, and attorneys fees are accordingly considered for the requisite jurisdictional amount. Therefore, from the face of the Complaint it is apparent that it is more likely than not that there is more than \$5 million in controversy.
- 14. As demonstrated above, this Court has jurisdiction pursuant to CAFA because (a) the amount in controversy exceeds the value of \$5 million, exclusive of interests and costs; (b) there are more than 100 class members; and (c) minimal diversity exists.

- 15. This class action is not subject to the home state exception because Defendant FNF is not a citizen of the state in which the action was filed. Defendant FNF is a citizen of Delaware and Florida and the action was filed in California. See 28 U.S.C. 1332(d)(4)(B).
- 16. For the local controversy exception to apply, the principal injuries resulting from the alleged conduct must be limited to California, the state the in which the action was originally filed. See 28 U.S.C. 1332(d)(4)(A). Here, however, the Plaintiff has in part brought this action as a class action on behalf of "[a]ll persons nationwide who paid an FNF subsidiary for delivery services performed by a third party vendor who had an agreement to pay a referral fee (kickback) to FNF." Therefore, the principal injuries resulting from the alleged conduct are not limited to California, and the local controversy exception does not apply.
- 17. While the consent of unknown "Doe" defendants was not obtained prior to filing this Notice of Removal, CAFA expressly eliminates the need for all defendants to consent to the removal of the class action. 28 U.S.C. § 1453(b); *United Steel v. Shell Oil*, 549 F.3d 1204, 1208 (9th Cir. 2008).
- 18. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, 1446 and 1453, removal of the State Court Proceedings to this Court is appropriate.
- 19. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), a copy of this Notice of Removal is being served upon Plaintiff and filed with the Superior Court for the State of California for the County of Los Angeles.

WHEREFORE, Defendant hereby gives notice that Civil Action BC486460, which was previously pending in the Superior Court for the State of California for the County of Los Angeles, is hereby removed to the Central District of California.

EXHIBIT A

SUM-100

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

Fidelity National Financial, Inc and does 1-100

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

Penelope Bergman, on behalf of herself and all others
similarly simularly

CONFORM DURTUSE ONLY
OF ORIGINAL FILED
os Angeles Superior Court

JUN 12 2012

John A Clarke Exemplie Officer/Clerk By LE: LaFLEUR-CLAYTIN, Deputy

CASE NUMBER:

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. [AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dias, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papelas legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una liamada telefónica no lo protegen: Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de le corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quiltar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión e abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar se cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Stanley Mosk Courthouse

111 North Hill St.,

Los Angeles, CA 90012

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Taras Kick, Esq., 201 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 350, Santa Monica, CA 90401, Phone: 310-395-2988

DATE: (Fecha)	10ma of any	Clerk, by	AMBEH L	afleur-clayton	, Deputy (Adjunto)
(For proof of service of this su (Para prueba de entrega de e (SEAL)	Immons, use Proof of Service of Su state of the Proof of Service of Su NOTICE TO THE PERSON SER 1 as an individual defend 2 as the person sued und	mmons (#örin POS- of Service of Summo RVED: You are serv lant.	ons, <i>(POS-010))</i> ed		
. _{IUN} 1 2 2012		corporation) defunct corporation) association or partne		al Financia CP 416.60 (minor) CP 416.70 (conservate CP 416.90 (authorized	e)

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009] SUMMONS

Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465 www.countinfo.ca.gov

	. j		
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	TARAS KICK (SBN 143379) THOMAS SEGAL (SBN 222791) THE KICK LAW FIRM, APC 201 WILSHIRE BLVD., SUITE 350 SANTA MONICA, CA 90401 TEL: (310) 395-2988 FAX: (310) 395-2088 Attorneys for Plaintiff Penelope Bergman and the Putative Class	CONFORMED COPY OF ORIGINAL FILED Los Angeles Superior Court JUN 12 2012 John A Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk By A A Deputy KEI Lafleur-CLAYTON
	8	SUPERIOR COURT FOR TH	E-STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	10	FOR THE COUNTY	OF LOS ANGELES
	11	PENELOPE BERGMAN, on behalf of herself	B C 4 9 6 4 6 0 CASE NO.
	13	and all others similarly situated,	
	14	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:
	15	vs.	1) VIOLATION OF THE REAL ESTATE SETTLEMENT PROCEDURES ACT (RESPA); and,
	16 17	FIDELITY NATIONAL FINANCIAL, INC.	2) VIOLATION OF THE UNFAIR
	18	AND DOES 1-100,	COMPETITION LAW, CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE
	19	Defendants.	SECTION 17200.
	. 20		
	21 ·		
	. 23		
11.11	24		
.,	25		
+	26		
	27 28	<i>:</i>	
- 1	` 1		

Plaintiff Penelope Bergman, by and through her attorneys, brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated against Fidelity National Financial, Inc. ("FNF") and DOES 1 through 100 (collectively "Defendants").

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This is a class action against Fidelity National Financial, Inc. and DOES 1 through 100 for violation of Section 2607(a) of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act ("RESPA"), the California Unfair Competition Law (California Business and Professions Code Section 17200, et seq.), and other applicable law. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of the general public and all similarly situated individuals who paid third party delivery fees where Fidelity National Financial, Inc. (or DOES 1 through 100) receives a kickback from the third party delivery service.
- 2. Pursuant to section 2607(a) of the federal Real Estate Settlement Practices Act it is unlawful to pay or receive referral fees for the referral of settlement business.
- 3. FNF as a regular business practice has entered into agreements with third party delivery companies in which FNF is paid a fee for referring settlement service business in escrow transactions handled by FNF subsidiaries such as Chicago Title, Ticor Title, and Fidelity National Title Company.
- 4. This practice violates section 2607(a) of RESPA as well as Business and Professions Code section 17200.
- 5. Plaintiff Penelope Bergman refinanced a property in Los Angeles, California on June 13, 19 2011.
 - 6. Chicago Title charged plaintiff a \$26.50 delivery fee for a delivery performed by a third party vendor. Based on Plaintiff's HUD-1 settlement statement this was a supposed pass through charge of the third party vendor's fee.
 - 7. The third party vendor who performed the delivery had an agreement with FNF as described above to pay a fee for the referral of settlement service business.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

8. This court has jurisdiction over this class action and all causes of action herein pursuant to the California Constitution, Article IV, Section 10 and California Business and Professions Code

28

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

22

23

24

25

26.

\$17204. Chicago Title is headquartered in Santa Ana, California, and is a California corporation.

9. Venue is proper in this Court because FNF operates within this judicial district and the transactions with Plaintiff complained of herein occurred in Los Angeles County.

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS/ DELAYED DISCOVERY /ESTOPPEL

- 10. Plaintiff and the class members did not discover, and could not reasonably have discovered, defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and other misconduct alleged herein until a date within the statute of limitations for each and every cause of action alleged herein. Plaintiff and the class members were ignorant of the true facts, and actually and reasonably relied on defendants' misrepresentations, failure to disclose, and other misconduct alleged herein.
- 11. Defendants intended that Plaintiff and class members act in reliance on their misrepresentations and misconduct alleged herein. Plaintiff and class members were ignorant of the true facts, and actually and reasonably relied upon Defendants' misrepresentations, failure to disclose, and other misconduct alleged herein, to their detriment. Had Plaintiff and the class members known the true facts, they would not have paid the unlawful delivery fees.
- 12. By their conduct, Defendants have waived, forfeited, and/or are estopped from asserting the statute of limitations and other affirmative or jurisdictional defenses to this action.

PARTIES

- 13. At all relevant times herein Plaintiff Penelope Bergman was a citizen of the State of California, and a resident of Los Angeles County.
- 14. Defendant Fidelity National Financial, Inc. is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Jacksonville, Florida.
- 15. Plaintiff is currently ignorant of the true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of the defendants sued herein under the fictitious names DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, and therefore, sues such defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this complaint to allege the true names and capacities of said fictitiously named defendants when their true names and capacities have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the fictitiously named DOE defendants is legally

responsible in some manner for the events and occurrences alleged herein, and for the damages suffered by the class.

CLASS DEFINITIONS

16. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 382 on behalf of herself and the following two proposed classes:

RESPA CLASS-

"All persons nationwide who paid an FNF subsidiary for delivery services performed by a third party vendor who had an agreement to pay a referral fee (kickback) to FNF."

UCL CLASS-

"All persons in California who paid an FNF subsidiary for delivery services performed by a third party vendor who had an agreement to pay a referral fee (kickback) to FNF."

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 17. Plaintiff brings this action in her own capacity on behalf of all persons in the classesset forth above.
- The class is believed to comprise many thousands of consumers, the joinder of whom is impracticable, and for whom class treatment will provide substantial benefit to the parties and the court system. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact that affects Plaintiff and the proposed class members. Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions that may affect class members individually. The common questions include whether FNF is permitted to receive a referral fee (kickback) from third party delivery vendors used in escrow transactions of its affiliates.
- 19. The claims or defenses to Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims or defenses to the claims of the class as more fully described below. They also arise from the same event and/or practice or pattern of the Defendants and are based upon the same legal theory.
- 20. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the class's interest and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class action litigation and insurance law. Plaintiff's interests are coincident with and not antagonistic to absent class members' interests. Plaintiff is also cognizant of, and determined to, faithfully discharge her fiduciary duties to the absent class members as the class representative.

1	21. The class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient
·2	adjudication of this controversy because the class members number in the thousands and joinder is
3	impracticable. The expense and burden of individual litigation would make it impracticable or
4	impossible for class members to prosecute their claims individually. Class treatment will ensure
	optimal compensation for the class and limit each class member's expense and conserve judicial
	resources.
6	22. Unless a class is certified Defendants will retain monies received as result of their
	overcharging. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendants' officers,
8	directors or managing agents were aware of, had actual knowledge of and ratified the prohibited acts
9	alleged herein.
10	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
11	REAL ESTATE SETTLEMENT PROCEDURES ACT
12	(ALL CLASS MEMBERS)
13	23. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth above, as though fully set forth
	here.
14	24. FNF received a referral fee from the third party vendor who performed the delivery
15	service in Plaintiff's refinance transaction.
16	
17	25. This arrangement violates section 2607(a) of RESPA.
18	26. Plaintiff and the RESPA class are entitled to damages as set forth in section
19	2607(d)(2) of RESPA.
20	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
21	FOR VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS
22	AND PROFESSIONS CODE 17200
23	(FOR CALIFORNIA CLASS MEMBERS ONLY)
24	27. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates the preceding allegations and paragraphs by reference as if set forth fully herein.
25	28. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of herself, and on behalf of the class.
26	29 FNF has engaged in unfair competition as set forth above.
27	30. In addition to being unfair and deceptive, such acts were also unlawful pursuant to
28	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i

section 2607(a) of RESPA and other applicable law. 1 31. By engaging in the above described acts and practices, Defendants have committed 2 one or more acts of unfair competition within the meaning of Bus. & Prof. Code Section 17200 et seg. 3 As a result of these acts and practices by Defendants, Plaintiff and the class members have lost money or property. 5 Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and on behalf of the class, seeks an order of this Court 32. 6 awarding restitution, injunctive relief and all other relief allowed under Bus. & Prof. Code Sections 7 17200 et seq., plus interest, costs, attorney's fees pursuant to, inter alia, Code of Civ. Proc. Section 1021.5. 8 9 10 PRAYER FOR RELIEF WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated prays for 11 udgment against Defendant as follows: 12 An order certifying the case as a class action and appointing Plaintiff and her counsel 13 o represent the class; 14 A judgment awarding Plaintiff and members of the class compensatory damages in B, 15 an amount to be proven at trial together with prejudgment interest at the maximum rate allowed by 16 law; 17 Restitution and disgorgement of all amounts obtained by Defendant as a result of 18 their misconduct; D. Statutory penalties provided by law; 19 E. Reasonable attorneys fees as permitted by law including but not limited to California 20 Code of Civ. Proc. § 1021.5; 21 F. Exemplary damages: 22 G. Costs of this suit; 23 Statutory pre-judgment interest; and 24. /// 25 26 27 28

-6-

	, , ,	
•	1	I. Such other relief as the Court may deem proper.
	2 3	Dated: June 12, 2012 THE KICK LAW FIRM, APC
	5	the fight
_	6	By: Taras Kick
	7	Thomas Segal Attorneys for Plaintiff Penelope Bergman and the Putative Class
	10	
	11	
-	13	Action and Section of Control of
	15 16	
	17	
	18 19	The state of the s
-	20	
	22	Edit of the Activity of the Ac
=======================================	24	The state of the s
	26	- Control of Control o
	27	in Variation and in the state of the state o
	· - 6	-7-

	1 '		
	1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
	2		
	3	Plaintiff individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated hereby demands a jury trial.	
	4		
	5	,,	
	6	:- ***	
	. 7	: **	
	8		_
	. 9		
	10		
	11	. : 1.	
	12		
	13		_
	14	A de la companya de l	
	15	No section of the sec	
	16		
	· 17		•
	. 18	Generalization	
	19	(Asalarya-	
_	. 20	Dated: June 12, 2012 THE KICK LAW FIRM, APC	
	21		
	22		
	. 23	By:	
	24	Thomas Segal (SBN 222791)	
	.25	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Class	
	26		
-	27	france.	
	28		
	 . . 1 11 .	- 8 -	
	* # *	, ; -0-	

				. CM-010
		•	ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Ber number, and address): Taras Kick (State Bar No. 143379)	FOR COURT USE ONLY
			Thomas Segal (State Bar No. 134097)	
			The Kick Law Firm, APC 201 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 250, Santa Monica, CA 90401	
			TELEPHONE NO.: 310-395-2988 FAX NO.: 310-395-2088	CONFORMED COPY
			ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, Penelope Bergman	OF ORIGINAL FILED
			SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS Angeles	os Angeles Superior Court
			STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill St	
:			MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill St	HIN 10 2012
			CITY AND ZIP CODE LOS Angeles 90012	JUN 12 2012
1			BRANCH NAME Stanley Mosk Courhouse	
			CASE NAME: John	An Clarkey Executive Officer/Clerk
	i		Penelope Bergman v. Fidelity National Financial, Inc By	Deputy
l			CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Complex Case Designation	ELECTRICAL PROPERTY OF THE PRO
			Unlimited Limited ☐	目 6480400
1			(Amount (Amount Li Counter Li Joinder	
			demanded demanded is Filed with first appearance by defendant	_rupge:
			exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less) (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:
	1		Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page	ge 2).
			Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:	
-			Auto Tort Contract Provis	sionally Complex Civil Litigation
	1 .			Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
-	1			Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
			Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
	1		Insulance overage (18)	Mass tort (40)
			Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
	1	· :	As distant and the (45)	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
			Limited in the process (45) Eminent domain/Inverse Other PI/PD/WD (23) condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
1	1		Non-PI/PDMD (Other) Tort Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
	1			cement of Judgment
	·		The state of the s	Enforcement of judgment (20)
				Ilaneous Civil Complaint
İ	l			RICO (27)
1			The state of the s	
1	1		Professional positionnes (25)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
	1		Other and DISDAM (Add (OE)	Illaneous Civil Petition
	1		Employment Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
l	1.		Wrongful termination (36) Writt of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
			Other employment (15) Other judicial review (39)	,
	1		2. This case is is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of	f Court If the case is compley mark the
	1		factors requiring exceptional judicial management:	Toolit. If the case is complex, mark the
	1		a. Large number of separately represented parties d. Large number of w	itnesses
				elated actions pending in one or more court
l	-		issues that will be time-consuming to resolve in other counties, s	tates, or countries, or in a federal court
1				Igment judicial supervision
	İ			• • •
			3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. monetary b. nonmonetary; declar	ratory or injunctive relief cpunitive
		•	4. Number of causes of action (specify):	
	1		5. This case is is not a class action suit.	
	1		6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may u	te form CM-015.)
1			Date: June 12, 2012	5 66
	4		Thomas Segal	/
	4			URE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
1			Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (ex-	cont email eleima acces es acces de la
1	•		under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of	Court rule 3 220) Failure to file may result
	}		in sanctions.	raid dimentify and to the may result
	ŀ		• File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.	
	4		 If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you mus other parties to the action or proceeding. 	t serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
	1		 Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will 	Il he used for statistical numeros ant-
				Page 1 of 2
1	1		Form Adopted for Mandatory Use CIVIL CASE COVER SHEFT	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400-3.403, 3.740

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Fallure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties In Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

```
CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES
                                                                                                                          Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400–3.403)
                                                            Contract
      Auto (22) Personal Injury/Property
                                                                 Breach of Contract/Warranty (08)
           Damage/Wrongful Death
                                                                      Breach of Rental/Lease
                                                                                                                                 Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
      Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the
                                                                           Contract:(not unlawful detainer
                                                                                                                                 Construction Defect (10)
                                                                      or wrongful eviction)
Contract/Wajranty Breach-Seller
Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)
           case involves an uninsured
                                                                                                                                 Claims involving Mass Tort (40)
           motorist claim subject to
                                                                                                                                 Securities Litigation (28)
           arbitration, check this item
                                                                                                                                 Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)
           instead of Auto)
                                                                     :Negligent Breach of Contract/
Warranty.
                                                                                                                                 Insurance Coverage Claims
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/
                                                                                                                                      (arising from provisionally complex
                                                                Other Breach of Contract/Warranty
Collections (e.g., trooney owed, open
book accounts) (09)
Property Damage/Wrongful Death)
                                                                                                                                      case type listed above) (41)
                                                                                                                            Enforcement of Judgment
      Asbestos (04)
                                                                                                                                Enforcement of Judgment (20)
Abstract of Judgment (Out of
         Asbestos Property Damage -
                                                                     Collection Case Seller Plaintiff
          Asbestos Personal Injury/
                                                                     Other Promissory Note/Collections
                                                                                                                                           County)
                                                                Case Fig.
                                                                                                                                      Confession of Judgment (non-
                Wrongfül Death
      Product Liability (not asbestos or
                                                                                                                                          domestic relations)
                                                                     (18)
Auto Subrogation

    toxic/environmental) (24)
    Medical Malpractice (45)

                                                                                                                                      Sister State Judgment
                                                                                                                                     Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)
                                                                     Other Coverage
          Medical Malpractice-
                Physicians & Surgeons
                                                                                                                                      Petition/Certification of Entry of
                                                                Other Contract (37)
          Other Professional Health Care
                                                                     Contractual firaud
                                                                                                                                         Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
     Matoractice
Other PI/PD/WD (23)
                                                                     Other Contract Dispute
                                                                                                                                      Other Enforcement of Judgment Case
                                                            Real Property
          Premises Liability (e.g., slip
                                                                                                                           Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
                                                                Eminent Domain Inverse
                                                                     Condemnation (14)
                                                                                                                                RICO (27)
Other Complaint (not specified
above) (42)
               and fall)
          Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD
                                                                 Wrongful Eviction (33)
                (e.g., assault, vandalism)
                                                                Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (28)
Writ of Possession of Real Property
                                                                                                                                     Declaratory Relief Only
Injunctive Relief Only (non-
          Intentional Infliction of
                Emotional Distress
                                                                      Mortgage Fotedosure
                                                                                                                                          harassment)
          Negligent Infliction of
                                                                     Quiet Title ;
Other Real Firoperty (not eminent
domain, landford/tenant, or
          Emotional Distress
Other PVPD/WD
                                                                                                                                      Mechanics Lien
                                                                                                                                      Other Commercial Complaint
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort
                                                                                                                                          Case (non-tort/non-complex)
                                                            foredosure) Unlawful Detainer
                                                                                                                                     Other Civil Complaint
     Business Tort/Unfair Business
         Practice (07)
                                                                                                                                         (non-tort/non-complex)
                                                                Commercial (31)
                                                                                                                            Miscellaneous.Civil Petition
     Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,
                                                                Residential (32) .
Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal
drugs, checkthis item; otherwise,
         false arrest) (not civil
                                                                                                                                Partnership and Corporate
          harassment) (08)
                                                                                                                                     Governance (21)
                                                                                                                                 Other Petition (not specified
     Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)
                                                                      report as Commercial or Residential)
                                                                                                                                     above) (43)
Civil Harassment
           (13)
                                                            Judicial Review
  Fraud (16)
                                                                Asset Forfeiture (0.5)
Petition Re: Artification Award (11)
Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ-Administrative Mandamus
    Intellectual Property (19)
Professional Negligence (25)
                                                                                                                                      Workplace Violence
                                                                                                                                     Elder/Dependent Adult
         Legal Majoractice
                                                                                                                                          Abuse
         Other Professional Malpractice
                                                                                                                                     Election Contest
                                                                     Wrlt-Mandamus on Limited Court
             (not medical or legal)
                                                                                                                                      Petition for Name Change
                                                                         Case Matter
      Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)
                                                                                                                                     Petition for Relief From Late
                                                                     Writ-Other Limited Court Case
Employment
                                                                                                                                          Claim
                                                                 Review (39)
Other Judicial Review (39)
Review of Health Officer Order
     Wrongful Termination (36)
                                                                                                                                     Other Civil Petition
     Other Employment (15)
                                                                    Notice of Appeal-Labor
```

sਮorr गांधः Bergman v. Fidelity National Financial, Inc	CASE NUMBER	BC486460
	5	•

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.
Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case: JURY TRIAL? YES CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 10 HOURS! DAY!
Item II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps - If you checked "Limited Case", skip to item III, Pg. 4)
Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.
Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.
Step 3: In Column C. circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action was been

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district.
 May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage).
 Location where cause of action arose.
 Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred:
 Location where performance required or defendant resides.

checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.0.

- Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.
 Location where petitioner resides.
 Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
 Tocation where one or more of the parties reside.
 Location of Labor Commissioner Office

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

	A PART OF THE PART			B Sympleon Action (Checcontribute)	Applicable Reasons
일보	Auto (22)	ł		Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Auto Tort	Uninsured Motorist (46)	n ,	A7110	Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death - Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
בי ו	Asbestos (04)	l		Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. · 2.
Other Personal Injuryl Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Product Liability (24)	Π,	A7260	Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
	Medical Malpractice (45)	l _		Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4. 1., 4.
	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)		A7230 A7270	Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 03/11) LASC Approved 03-04

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.0

Page 1 of 4

SHORT TITLE:
Bergman v. Fidelity National Financial, Inc

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ان ان ان ان ان ان ان ان ان ان ان ان ان ا	Covius ase cover sheet	B Lispest Action List Chief Chiecklenkymin	Applicable Reasons - See Step Sabove as
	Business Tort (07)	☑ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.
ropert ath To	Civil Rights (08)	□ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3,
ury/ P 'ul De	Defamation (13)	□ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
nal Inj Vrongi	Fraud (16)	□ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Professional Negligence (25)	□ A6017 Legal Malpractice □ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
20.	Other (35)	A5025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
nen	Wrongful Termination (36)	□ A8037 Wrongful Termination ·	1., 2., 3.
Employmen	Other Employment (15)	□ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case □ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
	.: .	A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)	2., 5.
	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	A6008 Contract/Warrenty Breach - Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)	2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
		☐ :A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	1., 2., 5.
Contract	Collections (09)	□ A6002 Collections Case—Seller Plaintiff □ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
	Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
	Other Contract (37)	□ A6009 Contractual Fraud □ A6031 Tortious Interference □ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	□ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Property	Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2, 6.
Real Pro	Other Real Property (26)	□ A6018 :Mortgage Foreclosure □ A6032 :Quiet Title	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
ier .	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	D A6021 Unlawful Detailer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
: Detain	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	A6020 Unlawful Detäiner-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
. Unlawful Detainer	Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foredosure (34)	A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6.
n .	Unlawful Detainer-Drugs*(38)	D A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 03/11) LASC Approved 03-04 SHORT TITLE
Bergman v. Fidelity National Financial, Inc

		PAGE AGENCY STATES OF THE PAGE AND THE PAGE AGENCY AND THE PAGE AG	
	A Civit Case Cover Sheet Street Category No	7B 2	Applicable Reasons See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfelture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2, 6.
view	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
Judicial Review	Writ of Mandate (02)	□ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus □ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter □ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2., 8.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
tion	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade-Regulation	1., 2., 8.
Liega -	Construction Defect (10)	A6007 Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Claims involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
ally Co	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
vision	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Prio	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	□ A6141 Sister State Sudgment □ A6160 Abstract of Judgment □ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) □ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) □ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax □ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8.
si si	RICO (27)	□ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8,
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	A6001 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
: [Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	D A6113 Partnership and Communication	2., 8.
Miscelfaneous Civil Pelitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	□ A6123 Workplace Harassment □ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case □ A6190 Election Confisst □ A6110 Petition for Change of Name □ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8.
L	111	7 7000 Conier Civil Feddon	2., 9.

LACIV.109 (Rev. 03/11) .LASC Approved 03-04 CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM
AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.0

					•	. *	٠.		
SHORT TITLE: Bergman v.	Fidelity Natio	nal Finan	cial, Inc			CASE NUMBER			
Item III. Statement of circumstance indicate	Location: Ente ed in Item II., S	er the add Step 3 o	ress of the ac n Page 1, as	cident, pa the prope	rty's resider reason f	ence or place for filing in the	of busines	ss, performa ation you se	nce, or oth
REASON: Check the armder Column C for the this case.	type of action the	at you hav	e selected for :	ADDRESS: 1712 Sou	nth Crescent	Heights Ave			•
спу:	_	STATE	ZIP CODE:	1					··
Los Angeles		CA	90035	1					
Item IV. Declaration of A and correct and that the Central Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c)	e above-entitle District of the	d matter	is properly file	difor assi	gnment-to-	the Stanley	Mosk	courthou	se in the
Dated: June 12, 2012	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		and the state of t	in a make the street states	(SIGI	NATURE OF ATTO	WEYFILING F	?ARTY)	
PLEASE HAVE THE COMMENCE YOUR	FOLLOWING IEW COURT	ITEMS C	OMPLETED	AND RE	ADY TO E	BE FILED IN	ORDER 1	TO PROPE	RLY
1. Original Comp	laint or Petitio	n.	rribus-				-		
2. If filing a Com	olaint a éomo	leted Sun	nmana tam	·	b	Olesta 1			

- Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CN-910.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- 6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad:Litem, Juddicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Count in order to issue a summons.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 03/11) LASC Approved 03-04

#.26

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT – CLASS ACTION CASES

Case Number_____

THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT ur case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below (Local Rule 3.3(t)).

Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial off	icer indicated helov	r (Lócal Rule 3.3(c))
ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM
Judge Elihu M. Berle	323	. 1707
Judge Kenneth Freeman	322	1702
Judge William F. Highberger	(307	1402
Judge Jane Johnson	308	1415
Judge Anthony J. Mohr	309	1409
Judge John Shepard Wiley, Jr.	311	1408
OTHER	·	

AC4A6460

Instructions for handling Class Action Civil Cases

The following critical provisions of the Chapter Three Rules, as applicable in the Central District, are summarized for your assistance.

APPLICATION

The Chapter Three Rules were effective January 1, 1994. They apply to all general civil cases.

PRIORITY OVER OTHER RULES

The Chapter Three Rules shall have priority over all other Local Rules to the extent the others are inconsistent.

CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE

A challenge under Code of Civil Procedure section 170.6 must be made within 15 days after notice of assignment for all purposes to a judge, or-if a party has not yet appeared, within 15 days of the first appearance.

TIME STANDARDS

Cases assigned to the Individual Calendaring Court will be subject to processing under the following time standards:

COMPLAINTS: All complaints shall be served within 60 days of filing and proof of service shall be filed within 90 days of filing.

CROSS-COMPLAINTS: Without leave of court first being obtained, no cross-complaint may be filed by any party after their answer is filed. Cross-complaints shall be served within 30 days of the filing date and a proof of service filed within 60 days of the filing date.

A Status Conference will be scheduled by the assigned Independent Calendar Judge no later than 270 days after the filing of the complaint. Counsel must be fully prepared to discuss the following issues: alternative dispute resolution, bifurcation, settlement, trial date, and expert witnesses.

FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court will require the parties at a status conference not more than 10 days before the trial to have timely filed and served all motions in limine, bifurcation motions, statements of major evidentiary issues, dispositive motions, requested jury instructions, and special jury instructions and special jury verdicts. These matters may be heard and resolved at this conference. At least 5 days before this conference, counsel must also have exchanged lists of exhibits and witnesses and have submitted to the court a brief statement of the case to be read to the jury panel as required by Chapter Eight of the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

SANCTIONS

The court will impose appropriate sanctions for the failure or refusal to comply with Chapter Three Rules, orders made by the Court, and time standards or deadlines established by the Court or by the Chapter Three Rules. Such sanctions may be on a party or if appropriate on counsel for the party.

This is not a complete delineation of the Chapter Three Rules, and adherence only to the above provisions is therefore not a guarantee against the imposition of sanctions under Trial Court Delay Reduction. Careful reading and compliance with the actual Chapter Rules is absolutely imperative.

Given to the Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/Attorney of Record on	JOHN A. CLARKE, Executive Officer/Clerk
В	y Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION PACKAGE

[CRC 3.221 Information about Alternative Dispute Resolution]
For additional ADR information and forms visit the Court ADR web application at www.lasuperiorcourt.org (click on ADR).

The plaintiff shall serve a copy of this Information Package on each defendant along with the complaint (Civil only).

What Is ADR:

337

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) is the term used to describe all the other options available for settling a dispute which once had to be settled in court. ADR processes, such as arbitration, mediation, neutral evaluation (NE), and settlement conferences, are less formal than a court process and provide opportunities for parties to reach an agreement using a problem-solving approach.

There are many different kinds of ADR. All of them utilize a "neutral", an impartial person, to decide the case or help the parties reach an agreement.

In mediation, a neutral person called a "mediator" helps the parties try to reach a mutually acceptable resolution of the dispute. The mediator does not decide the dispute but helps the parties communicate so they can try to settle the dispute themselves. Mediation leaves control of the outcome with the parties.

Cases for Which Mediation May Be Appropriate

Mediation may be particularly useful when parties have a dispute between or among family members, neighbors, or business partners. Mediation is also effective when emotions are getting in the way of resolution. An effective mediator can hear the parties out and help them communicate with each other in an effective and nondestructive manner.

Cases for Which Mediation May Not Be Appropriate

Cases for Which Mediation May Not Be Appropriate

Mediation may not be effective if one of the parties is unwilling to cooperate or compromise. Mediation also may not be effective

If one of the parties has a significant advantage in power over the other. Therefore, it may not be a good choice if the parties have a history of abuse or victimization.

Arbitration:

In arbitration, a neutral person called an "arbitrator" hears arguments and evidence from each side and then decides the outcome of the dispute. Arbitration is less formal than a trial, and the rules of evidence are often relaxed. Arbitration may be either "binding" or "nonbinding." Binding arbitration means that the parties waive their right to a trial and agree to accept the arbitrator's decision as final. Nonbinding arbitration means that the parties are free to request a trial if they do not accept the arbitrator's decision.

Cases for Which Arbitration May Be Appropriate

Arbitration is best for cases where the parties want another person to decide the outcome of their dispute for them but would like to avoid the formality, time, and expense of a trial. It may also be appropriate for complex matters where the parties want a decision-maker who has training or experience in the subject matter of the dispute.

Cases for Which Arbitration May Not Be Appropriate

If parties want to retain control over how their dispute is resolved, arbitration, particularly binding arbitration, is not appropriate. In binding arbitration, the parties generally cannot appeal the arbitrator's award, even if it is not supported by the evidence or the law. Even in nonbinding arbitration, if a party requests a trial and does not receive a more favorable result at trial than in arbitration, there may be penalties.

In neutral evaluation, each party gets a chance to present the case to a neutral person called an "evaluator." The evaluator then gives an opinion on the strengths and weaknesses of each party's evidence and arguments and about how the dispute could be resolved. The evaluator is often an expert in the subject matter of the dispute. Although the evaluator's opinion is not binding, the parties typically use it as a basis for trying to negotiate a resolution of the dispute.

Cases for Which Neutral Evaluation May Be Appropriate

Neutral evaluation may be most appropriate in cases in which there are technical issues that require special experilise to resolve or the only significant issue in the case is the amount of damages.

Cases for Which Neutral Evaluation May Not Be Appropriate

Neutral evaluation may not be appropriate when there are significant personal or emotional barriers to resolving the dispute.

Settlement Conferences:

Settlement conferences may be either mandatory or voluntary. In both types of settlement conferences, the parties and their attorneys meet with a judge or a neutral person called a "settlement officer" to discuss possible settlement of their dispute. The judge or settlement officer does not make a decision in the case but assists the parties in evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of the case and in negotiating a settlement. Settlement conferences are appropriate in any case where settlement is an option. Mandatory settlement conferences are often held close to the date a case is set for trial.

LAADR 005 (Rev.12-09) LASC Approved 05-09

Page 1 of 2

COURT ADR PROGRAMS

CIVIL:

- Civil Action Mediation (Governed by Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) sections 1775-1775.15, California Rules of Court, rules 3:850-3:868 and 3.890-3.898 Evidence Code sections 1115-1128, and Los Angeles Superior Court Rules, chapter 12.)
- Retired Judge Settlement Conference
- Neutral Evaluation (Governed by Los Angeles Superior Court Rules, chapter 12.)
- Judicial Arbitration (Governed by Code of Civil Procedure sections 1141.10-1141.31, California Rules of Court, rules 3,810-3.830, and Los
- Eminent Domain Mediation (Governed by Code of Civil Procedure section
- **Civil Harassment Mediation**
- Small Claims Mediation

FAMILY LAW (non-custody):

- Mediation
- Forensic Certified Public Accountant (CPA) Settlement Conference
- **Settlement Conference**
- Nonbinding Arbitration (Governed by Family Code section 2554.)

PROBATE:

- Mediation
- **Settlement Conference**

NEUTRAL SELECTION

Parties may select a mediator, neutral evaluator, or arbitrator from the Court Party Select Panel or may hire someone privately, at their discretion. If the parties utilize the Random Select Mediation or Arbitration Panel, the parties will be assigned on a random basis the name of one neutral who meets the case criteria entered on the court's website.

COURT ADR PANELS

Party Select -

Panel

The Party Select Panel consists of mediators, neutral evaluators; and arbitrators who have achieved a specified level of experience in court-connected cases. The parties (collectively) may be charged \$150.00 per hour for the first three hours of hearing time. Thereafter, the parties may be charged for additional hearing time on an hourly basis at rates established by the neutral if the parties consent in writing.

Random Select

Panel

The Random Select Panel consists of trained mediators, neutral evaluators, and arbitrators who have not yet gained the experience to qualify for the Party Select Panel, as well as experienced neutrals who make themselves available pro bono as a way of supporting the judicial system. It is the policy of the Court that all Random Select panel volunteer mediators, neutral evaluators, and arbitrators provide three hours hearing time per case. Thereafter, the parties may be charged for additional hearing time on an hourly basis at rates established by the neutral if the parties

Private Neutral

The market rate for private neutrals can range from \$300-\$1,000 per hour. ADR ASSISTANCE

For assistance regarding ADR, please contact the ADR clerk at the courthouse in which your cas

COURTHOUSE	HVADDRESS#6E-1900	1		Transfer of the same of the sa	ase was filed.
Antonovich	42011 4th St. West	None	C DAMPAGE DE LA COMPANION DE L	SCHONE	STAX CARRY
Chatsworth.	9425 Penfield Ave.	1200	Lancaster, CA 93534	(661)974-7275	(661)974-7060
Compton	200 W. Compton Blvd.	1002	Chatsworth, CA 91311	(818)576-8565	(818)576-8687
Glendale	600 E. Broadway	273	Compton, CA 90220	(310)603-3072	(310)223-0337
Long Beach	415 W. Ocean Blvd.	316	Glendale, CA 91206	(818)500-3160	(818)548-5470
Norwalk	. 12720 Norwalk Blvd.	308	Long Beach, CA 90802	(562)491-6272	(562)437-3802
Pasadena	300 E. Walnut St.	109	Norwalk, CA 90650	(562)807-7243	(562)462-9019
Pomoná	400 Civic Center Plaza	106	Pasadena, CA 91101	(626)356-5685	(626)666-1774
San Pedro	505 S. Centre	209	Pomona, CA 91766	(909)620-3183	(909)629-6283
Santa Mónica	1725 Main St	203	San Pedro, CA 90731	(310)519-6151	(310)514-0314
Stanley Mosk	111 N. Hill St.	113	Santa Monica, CA 90401	(310)260-1829	(310)319-6130
Torrance	825 Maple Ave.	100	Los Angeles, CA 90012	(213)974-5425	(213)633-5115
Van Nuys	6230 Sylmar Ave.	418	Torrance, CA 90503	(310)222-1701	(310)782-7326
		[015	Van Nuys, CA 91401	(818)374-2337	(818)902-2440

Partially Funded by the Los Angeles County Dispute Resolution Program A complete list of the County Dispute Resolution Programs is available online and upon request in the Clerk's Office.

LAADR 005 (Rev.12-09) LASC Approved 05-09

. Page 2 of 2

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Information About Alternative Dispute Resolution:

California Rules of Court, rule 3.221, requires counties participating in the Dispute Resolution Programs Act ("DRPA"), to provide information about the availability of local dispute resolution programs funded under DRPA. In Los Angeles County, these services are made possible through major support from the Los Angeles County Department of Community and Senior Services through DRPA. The list of the local dispute resolution programs funded in Los Angeles County is set forth below.

Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, ADR Office (213) 974-5425 www.lasuperiorcourt.org/ADR

Staff and volunteers of the following identified agencies are not employees of the Los Angeles Superior Court:

Asian-Pacific American Dispute Resolution Center (213) 250-8190 www.apadrc.org

California Academy of Mediation Professionals (818) 377-7250 www.mediationprofessionals.org

California Lawyers for the Arts, Arbitration and Mediation Service (310) 998-5590 www.calawyersforthearts.org/

Center for Conflict Resolution (818) 705-1090 www.ccr4peace.org

Inland Valleys Justice Center (909) 621-7479 www.ivjc.org

Korean American Coalition 4.29 Center (213) 365-5999 www.kacla.org

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office Dispute Resolution Program (213) 485-8324 www.lacity.org/mediate

Los Angeles County Bar Association Dispute Resolution Services (877) 473-7658 (323) 930-1841 (888) 922-1322 (562) 570-1019 www.lacba.org/drs

Los Angeles County Department of Consumer Affairs (213) 974-0825

The Loyola Law School Center for Conflict Resolution (213) 736-1145 www.ils.edu/ccr

City of Norwalk Dispute Resolution Program (562) 929-5603

www.ci.norwalk.ca.us/socialservices2.asp

These programs do not offer legal advice or help you respond to a summons, but they can assist in resolving your problem through mediation.

Dispute Resolution Programs Act Contracts Administration Office: (213) 738-2621

LAADR 007 (Rev. 04/10) LASC Approved 07-04 INFORMATION ABOUT
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGEL Click on the button to select the appropriate court address. PLAINTIFF: DEFENDANT: STIPULATION TO PARTICIPATE IN ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) The undersigned parties stipulate to participate in an Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) process in the above-entitled action, as follows: ■ Non-Binding Arbitration ☐ Binding Arbitration ☐ Early Neutral Evaluation ☐ Settlement Conference ☐ Other ADR Process (describe) Dated: Name of Stipulating Party Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation Signature of Party or Attorney ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant ☐ Cross-defendant Name of Stipulating Party Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation Signature of Party of Attorney ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant ☐ Cross-defendant Name of Stipulating Party

| Plaintiff | Defendant | Cross-defendant Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation Signature of Party or Attorney Name of Stipulating Party Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation Signature of Party or Attorney ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant ☐ Cross-defendant ☐ Additional signature(s) on reverse LAADR 001 10-04 . STIPULATION TO PARTICIPATE IN Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3,221

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)

Document 1

Filed 07/12/12

Page 28 of 32 Page ID

Page 1 of 2

Case 2:12-cv-05994-ODW-RZ

LASC Approved (Rev. 01-07)

Short Tille Case Number Name of Stipulating Party Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation Signature of Party or Attorney ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant ☐ Cross-defendant Name of Stipulating Party Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation Signature of Party or Attorney ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant ☐ Cross-defendant Name of Stipulating Party

Plaintiff

Defendant

Cross-defendant Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation Signature of Party or Attorney Name of Stipulating Party

Plaintiff Defendant Cross-defendant Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation Signature of Party or Attorney Name of Stipulating Party

Plaintiff Defendant Cross-defendant Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation Signature of Party or Attorney Name of Stipulating Party

Plaintiff Defendant Cross-defendant Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation Signature of Party or Attorney Name of Stipulating Party Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation Signature of Party or Attorney ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant ☐ Cross-defendant Name of Stipulating Party Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation Signature of Party or Attorney ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant ☐ Cross-defendant Name of Stipulating Party

Plaintiff Defendant Cross-defendant Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation Signature of Party or Attorney Name of Stipulating Party Name of Party or Attorney Executing Stipulation Signature of Party or Attorney ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant ☐ Cross-defendant

Document 1

Filed 07/12/12

Page 29 of 32

Page ID

LAADR 001 10-04 LASC Approved (Rev. 01-07)

Case 2:12-cv-05994-ODW-RZ

STIPULATION TO PARTICIPATE IN ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)

Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3,221 Page 2 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Otis D. Wright II and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Ralph Zarefsky.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV12- 5994 ODW (RZx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X] Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Southern Division
411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division
3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134
Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself \square)	DEFENDANTS						
Penelope Bergman, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated		Fidelity National Financial, Inc. and Does 1-100					
(IX) A (II) was (Circ. Many) Addison and Tologham Nowbeau (Circ. Many)		Attempte (If V name)	***************************************	***************************************		*************************************	
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are yourself, provide same.)	representing	Attorneys (If Known) Attorney for Defendant Fide	elity Natio	anal Financial Inc	n		
Taras Kick, The Kick Law Firm, APC		Michael J. Gleason, Hahn I.	Loeser & P	arks LLP			
201 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 350, Santa Monica, CA 90401 Tel: (310) 395-2988		600 West Broadway, Suite Tel: 619.810.4300	1500, San	Diego, CA 9210	1-3384		
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)	,	TES - For	Diversity Cases	Only			
III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box only.) (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)				~,			
☐ I U.S. Government Plaintiff	Citizen of This		DEF	naarnamiad or Di	ringinal Blaga	PTF	DEF
Government Not a Party)	Chizen of this	State 🗆 1		ncorporated or Pr of Business in this		U4	714
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	Citizen of Anot	her State 🗆 2		ncorporated and		e 🗆 5	□ 5
of Parties in Item III)	0.00	C. C. C. C.		of Business in An	other State		D.(
IV. ODYON. (Disease Viscous Louis II)	Cluzen or Subje	ect of a Foreign Country 3	□3 I	Foreign Nation		□6	□6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) □ 1 Original 2 Removed from □ 3 Remanded from □ 4 Re	instated or G	5 Transferred from another dis-	triat (rnaci	ify): 🗆 6 Multi	- 🗆 7 A:	neal to	Dietriet
	opened	5 Transferred from another dis-	trict (speci	Distri	ict Ju	ige fron	n
				Litiga	ition M	agistrate	Judge
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: ✓ Yes □ CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: ✓ Yes □ No				NITE: 40			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you		MONEY DEMANDED IN CO			tutor unlara d	ixaroita	`
Plaintiff in underlying state court action alleges, inter alia, a violatio					itutes amess a	iveisity.)
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)							
OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT CONTRACT	TORTS	TORTS	PR	ISONER	#::=== <u>[</u>	BOR	22.
☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 110 Insurance PER	RSONAL INJURY	1 : .		4	□710 Fair I	abor St	andards
	Airplane Airplane Produ	PROPERTY □ 370 Other Fraud		Notions to Vacate Sentence	Act □ 720 Labo	/Momt	
☐ 450 Commerce/ICC ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	371 Truth in Lending		labeas Corpus	Relat		
Rates/etc. \square 150 Recovery of \square 320	Assault, Libel &	1	□ 530 C		□ 730 Labo	-	
☐ 460 Deportation Overpayment &	Slander Fed. Employers	Property Damage	1			ting &	
Li 470 Racketed Influenced Enforcement of	Liability	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		fandamus/ Other	Disci □ 740 Raily	osure Ac	
Drognizations IIII N. Medicare Act	Marine	RANKRUPICY			☐ 790 Other		n Au
□ 480 Consumer Credit □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted □ 345	Marine Product			rison Condition	Litiga		
F1400 Cable/Sat TV Student Loan (Evel	Liability Motor Vehicle	158	FOR	FEITURE/	□ 791 Empl	Ret. In-	c.
☐ 810 Selective Service Veterans)	Motor Vehicle	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28	1	NALTY	Secur	ity Act	to calculate than
□ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ 153 Recovery of	Product Liabilit	y USC 157		griculture	PROPER		HTS ;
Exchange Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 360	Other Personal	GIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting	i	other Food &	□ 820 Copy □ 830 Paten		
	Injury Personal Injury	D 442 D		orug Related	□ 840 Trade		
890 Other Statutory Actions 190 Other Contract	Med Malpractic		1	eizure of	SOCIAL	SEGUR	JIY
	Personal Injury	mmodations	1	roperty 21 USC			
☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Liability	Product Liabilit		1	1	☐ 862 Black		•
CAT COMMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF	Asbestos Person	nal 445 American with Disabilities -		iquor Laws LR, & Truck	□ 863 DIW((405)		v
□ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 210 Land Condemnation	Injury Product Liability	Employment	l.	Airline Regs	□ 864 SSID		Vl
□ 895 Freedom of Info. Act □ 220 Foreclosure	MMIGRÁTION:		I .	occupational	□ 865 RSI (-
□ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi- □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 462	Naturalization	Disabilities -	S	afety /Health	FEDERAL	TAXS	S. P. W. Belleville Manufacture
nation Under Equal 240 Torts to Land	Application	Other	□ 690 C	Other	□ 870 Taxes		
1100033 to Subtree	Habeas Corpus Alien Detainee			ļ	1	fendant]	
□ 950 Constitutionality of □ 290 All Other Real Property □ 465	Other Immigrat	Rights			□ 871 IRS-1 USC		rty 26
State Statutes	Actions				Ų3C	1007	
			1				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:							

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? INO I Yes If yes, list case number(s):							
VHI(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? Mo							
Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case: (Check all boxes that apply) A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.							
IX. VENUE; (When completing the	following information	on, use an additional sheet if nece	essary.)				
(a) List the County in this District; (Check here if the government, it				ry, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.			
County in this District:*	Palanaine di mandrata de la mandrata de la mandrata de la mandrata de la mandrata de la mandrata de la mandrata	Cai	lifornia County outside of this District	State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Los Angeles County							
(b) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).							
County in this District:*		Ca	difornia County outside of this District	; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
		Defendant is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Florida.					
(c) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved.							
County in this District:*		Ca	lifornia County outside of this District	; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Los Angeles County							
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, us			Luis Obispo Counties				
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (0		Ludsy Mert	Date	July 12, 2012			
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)							
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases:						
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cau	use of Action				
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.					
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))					

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2